Application No. 10/563,238

Attorney Docket No.: 053550

Response Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

REMARKS

Claims 2-4 are pending in the present application.

Claims 2-4 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ciccarelli

(U.S. 4,263,510) in view of **Das Gupta** (U.S. 3,440,419).

Favorable reconsideration is requested.

Applicant respectfully submits that Ciccarelli in view of Das Gupta does not teach or

suggest:

wherein said X-ray diffraction/spectral device obtains third data which is

data regarding diffracted X-rays and is based on a difference between said

first data and said second data

as recited in claims 2 and 3; and "a data processing means" for performing this function as

recited in claim 4.

The Office Action takes the position that this feature of claims 2-4 is taught in Ciccarelli

at col. 4, lines 29-35. (Office Action, page 3.) This passage of Ciccarelli discloses a wave-

dispersive or energy-dispersive analyzer that is disposed near the entry of the snout 28 of

detector 26. Ciccarelli discloses that the analyzer analyzes X-ray fluorescent emission and

absorption spectra and X-ray diffraction patterns generated by the incidence of the collimated

rays on the specimen. However, Ciccarelli does not disclose obtaining data regarding diffracted

X-rays based on a difference between data gathered at a first position and data gathered at a

second position. Nor does Ciccarelli disclose a data processing means for obtaining this data.

Applicant respectfully submits that the combination of Ciccarelli and Das Gupta would

be inoperable.

- 2 -

Application No. 10/563,238

Attorney Docket No.: 053550

Response Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

The Office Action cites Das Gupta for disclosing positioning members that position the

source and detector without the use of a goniometer or specimen rotation mechanism. (Office

Action, page 3.) However, the use of a goniometer is an integral part of the device in Ciccarelli.

The goniometer in Ciccarelli positions the specimen holder 18 to an angular displacement θ

relative to the collimator axis, and positions the detector relative to the collimator axis to an

angular displacement of 2θ . (Col. 4, lines 36-50.) The device in Ciccarelli maintains the

relationship θ and 2θ for every position of the specimen. Without the goniomenter in Ciccarelli,

the angles and the relationship of the angles would not be known. Thus, modifying the device of

Ciccarelli by combining the teachings of Das Gupta would lead to an inoperable device.

For at least the foregoing reasons, claims 2-4 are patentable over the cited references.

Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection of claims 2-4 is hereby solicited.

In view of the above remarks, Applicant submits that that the claims are in condition for

allowance. Applicant requests such action at an early date.

If the Examiner believes that this application is not now in condition for allowance, the

Examiner is requested to contact Applicant's undersigned attorney to arrange for an interview to

expedite the disposition of this case.

- 3 -

Application No. 10/563,238 Attorney Docket No.: 053550 Response Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

If this paper is not timely filed, Applicant respectfully petitions for an appropriate extension of time. The fees for such an extension or any other fees that may be due with respect to this paper may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-2866.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP

Andrew G. Melick Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 56,868

Telephone: (202) 822-1100 Facsimile: (202) 822-1111

AGM/adp